

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Adorsos COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1490 pnin 22313-1456 veretupologos

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/609,921	07/03/2000	William Patrick Flanagan	RD-27,270/USA	RD-27,270/USA 4350	
7590 11/26/2003			EXAMINER		
DOUGHERTY, CLEMENTS & HOFER			SINES, E	SINES, BRIAN J	
1901 ROXBOROUGH ROAD SUITE 300			ART UNIT PAPER NUMB		
CHARLOTTE	NC 28211		1743		

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>Y</u> L				
Advisoms Action	09/609,921	FLANAGAN ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Brian J. Sines	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in				
	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the appropriate the second control of the secon	on. See MPEP opriate extension				
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of I (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail (FR 1.704(b)).	originally set in the final ing date of the final reje	Office action; or				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) [they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancell	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) avhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Set		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: 21-29 and 31-38.							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,6-15 and 17-20</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer							
10. Other:	(-)(-)	 -					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants arguments are not commensurate in scope to the precise language of the claims. Regarding claims 1 and 14, these claims do not positively recite that the apparatus comprises a plurality of substrate reservoirs exclusively in fluid communication with a common or single sealed headspace, as asserted on page 10 of the applicants arguments. These claims still encompass, and therefore do not exclude, the prior art apparatus structure of Freitag et al. (see Final Rejection, paper no. 13). Furthermore, in view of the claim amendments, an updated prior art search is required.

Jili Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700